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While transportation continues to hold a vital role in socioeconomic development, the negative implications of transportation technologies on environmental sustainability remain a hot topic. The argument is that transportation networks can escalate the deterioration of air quality and accelerate climate change by emitting gases from burning fossil fuels. For instance, noise and water pollution can result from transportation, while other indirect effects on ecosystems exist. According to Wang et al.(2016), such spillover effects are only projected to increase as the transportation sector expands and more people choose faster ways of travel. Although transportation provides significant economic advantages, it can also adversely affect environmental systems, making this a paradoxical problem.

On the one hand, transportation operations help meet the ever-growing passenger and cargo mobility needs. However, on the other hand, they have been linked to potentially harmful environmental implications. The operational circumstances and infrastructural needs, such as the development and maintenance of transportation networks, are also influenced by environmental factors. As a result, the present study argues that the relationship between transportation and the natural surroundings may be understood as a system with reverberating consequences.

Recently, there has been a significant focus on the influence of transportation infrastructure, particularly its economic implications. In the view of Hickman and Banister (2019), the heightened attention and subsequent discussions stem from the desire to stimulate economic growth in various regions and sectors effectively. In order to assess the various effects of transportation infrastructure, Heiling (2015) observes that scholarly investigations in recent times have employed scientometric methodologies to examine the existing body of literature and identify patterns in some regions of interest, such as transport phenomena and public transportation. However, within the realm of transportation, previous scientometric investigations primarily concentrate on statistical findings, neglecting the examination of aesthetic and network framework analysis.

According to Flyvbjerg (2017), transportation infrastructure shares critical characteristics with general infrastructure, including high levels of risk, substantial investment requirements, intricate organizational structures, and relatively low financial returns. Furthermore, the phenomenon under consideration exhibits two distinct attributes: a geographical networked system and spatial externalization, as Wang et al. (2018) identified. Transport infrastructure refers to a network system that serves as the conduit connecting various nodes, regions, or node regions. This phenomenon facilitates the movement of manufacturing parameters and the transfer of goods across different geographical locations (Wang et al., 2018). In contrast, externality refers to the occurrence of beneficial or detrimental impacts on external entities as a result of a particular economic entity's production or consumption activities. Transport infrastructure, when considered as a public capital, has the potential to facilitate economic expansion directly and, in addition, indirectly enhance the economy by generating spillover effects, including technological innovations. However, Budd (2016) notes that environmental degradation and urban noise frequently arise due to the construction of transportation infrastructures, leading to adverse externalities. The presence of intricate attributes and consequential functions contributes to the emergence of diverse effects of the transport system on the environment, society, and the economy at local and global levels.

In the context of positive impacts, the transportation network is crucial in stimulating economic growth and promoting social welfare through improving investment and production results for both public and private entities. In a more tailored context, the advancement of transportation infrastructure can reduce the costs linked to travel, foster international investment, and enable the growth of commerce in mutually beneficial resources. The significance of transport infrastructure in industrialization is of utmost importance since it substantially affects regional innovation, factor reallocation, and manufacturing productivity (Loo, 2016). The impacts above have a role in the concentration of industries, population, and economic activity, widely known as the economic distributional effect. However, several empirical studies have presented data suggesting that the expansion of high-speed railway networks contributes to the development of major urban centers while simultaneously resulting in a decline in the economic growth rate of prefecture-level cities along the railway route. The phenomenon is sometimes denoted as the siphoning effect. Despite discrepancies in results across many data sources and study topics, empirical studies remain the prevailing and effective method for determining transportation infrastructures' favorable or unfavorable effects.

In economic progress and social advancement, excessive infrastructure building can exert significant pressure on the ecological and natural ecosystems (Wang et al., 2018). Transportation infrastructure plays a crucial role in facilitating economic activities, but transportation in the era of rapid technological advancement simultaneously gives rise to specific undesirable outcomes. For instance, production networks, both within a country and globally, contribute to generating CO2 emissions (Meng et al., 2013). Additionally, the construction and operation of transportation networks can lead to ecological damage, including habitat fragmentation, alterations to aquatic flow, as well as a decline in the quantity and quality of water (Rajak et al., 2016). The Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) was introduced by the United States in 1969 (Wang et al., 2018), leading to the incorporation of environmental issues into legal frameworks and the subsequent rise in public interest around many related subjects.

In the realm of transportation, in addition to cost-benefit, architecture, and investment evaluations, the primary criteria for evaluation include environmental implications, majorly the issues of CO2 emissions and air quality (Zheng et al., 2016). From a sustainability perspective, the impacts of transportation systems are primarily negative, prompting extensive research efforts toward limiting their environmental consequences. Furthermore, it is crucial to acknowledge that transport infrastructure plays a significant role in fulfilling critical social obligations, as highlighted by Wang et al. (2018). While it is true that significant financial expenditures in infrastructure projects may lead to the creation of more employment and improved income distribution, it is imperative to recognize the potential health concerns, land expropriation, and animal destruction associated with such endeavors.

Numerous metrics and methodologies within transportation environmental sustainability have expanded, drawing upon the conventional cost-duration-quality evaluation paradigm. Several multi-criteria models using panel data have been expanded, including the multidimensional co-integration technique, fuzzy logic assessment (Rajak, 2016), and decoupling. Furthermore, enhancing the network configuration and examining the spatial interconnections of infrastructure operations are crucial for advancing urban sustainability (Rotolo et al., 2017). The intricate attributes and diverse effects of transportation systems have stimulated research on identifying and modeling transportation sustainability. Nevertheless, previous research has relied chiefly on experiential methods to evaluate the content of published publications. Furthermore, systematic and statistical evaluation may provide a comprehensive and lucid understanding of the current research landscape concerning local and global impacts of transportation on ecological sustainability.

In summary, the salient debates about transportation resources and their ecological assessment include cost, efficiency, reliability, and investment concerns at the project level. Furthermore, when considering the broader scope, the impacts of transportation infrastructure included social, environmental, and economic dimensions. In the fourth stage of the study, the hierarchy analysis was used to identify particular research objectives, techniques, and various impacts associated with transportation infrastructure. The spillover impacts of transport networks include many dependent sub-categories, including geographical, regional, environmental, and economic implications. Such broader macro keywords serve to illustrate the intricacy of impact processes.

Furthermore, the transportation infrastructure significantly influences land use, urban growth, human well-being, and the interconnectedness of cities. Therefore, this study offers vital insights for scholars and practitioners seeking to comprehend the substantial and intricate influence of the transportation network. The significance of impact assessment in transportation system research is evident, as it plays a crucial role in substantiating technical and managerial difficulties. The present scientometric analysis aims to provide a theoretical framework that will serve as a guiding principle for this activity.
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